



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,511	09/28/2000	Cheng-Chieh Lee	4	2118
22046 DOCKET A D	7590 02/26/2007 MINISTRATOR		EXAMINER	
LUCENT TEC	CHNOLOGIES INC.		ARMSTRONG	, ANGELA A
ROOM 2F-190 600 MOUNTA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
**	LL, NJ 07974-0636		2626	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
<u> </u>	ONTHS	02/26/2007	PAP	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/672,511	LEE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela A. Armstrong	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLIC HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	• •	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9,18,19,24,25,30, consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,10-12,14-17,20-23,26-29,32-37, 7) Claim(s) 6,7,13,38,39 and 45 is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 10.	31,40,41,50,51,56,57,62 and 42-44,46-49,52-55,58-61 ard to. for election requirement. Therefore the drawing(s) be held in abeyand action is required if the drawing(s)	od 64 is/are rejected. by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	* *	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (1) encoders, decoders, and encoding methods implementing first and second quantization each comprising a pulse code modulation scheme; (2) encoders, decoders, and encoding methods implementing first and second quantization each comprising an adaptive differential pulse code modulation scheme; and (3) encoders, decoders, and encoding methods implementing first and second quantization each comprising a code excited linear predictive coding scheme.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 5, 16, 21, 27, 37, 48, 53, and 59 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after Application/Control Number: 09/672,511

Art Unit: 2626

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's election with traverse of species 1 in the reply filed on August 27, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that only the specific claims (the claim from which the different species depended from) that are generic to the different species was indicated in the Office Action as being generic, and not all the other pending claims. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not provided any argument as to why or how the disclosure does not specifically contain the three separate and distinct species identified in the election requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-17, 20, -23, 26-29, 32-37, 42-44, 46-49, 52-55, 58-61, and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jafarkhani et al (US Patent No. 6,823,018).
- 4. Jafarkhani discloses a multiple description coding communication system.
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Jafarkhani discloses a multi-descriptive encoder for generating a plurality of multi-descriptive bit streams from a sing source signal (Figures 4, 13), the encoder comprising: a source signal input port for supplying the source signal (col. 4, lines 1-4); a first coder applied to the source signal input port, the first coder for generating a first multi-descriptive bit stream from the source signal, the first coder employing a first coding algorithm which includes a first quantization process in which a first data value based on the source signal is coded with use of a corresponding first quantized data value (col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 14; col. 7, line 48 to col. 8, line 60), thereby resulting in a corresponding first quantization error representative of a difference between said first data value and said first quantized data value; and a second coder applied to the source signal input port, the second coder for generating a second multi-descriptive bit stream from the source signal, the second coder employing a second coding algorithm which includes a second quantization process in which a second data value,

Art Unit: 2626

based on the source signal and corresponding to said first data value, is coded with use of a corresponding second quantized data value (col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 14; col. 7, line 48 to col. 8, line 60), thereby resulting in a corresponding second quantization error representative of a difference between said second data value and said second quantized data value, wherein said second quantized data value as produced by said second quantization process is based at least in part on said first quantization error resulting from said first quantization process.

Regarding claim 2, Jafarkhani discloses the first coding algorithm and said second coding algorithm differ in at most the corresponding quantization processes included therein (col. 4, line 60 to col. 6, line 14)

Regarding claim 3, Jafarkhani discloses the source signal is a speech signal (col. 4, line 31).

Regarding claim 4, Jafarkhani discloses second quantized data value as produced by said second quantization process is determined so that said second quantization error resulting from said second quantization process is such that if combined with said first quantization error resulting from said first quantization process to produce a net quantization error, said net quantization error will be less than said first quantization error (col. 5, line 51 to col. 6, line 14; col. 7, line 48 to col. 8, line 60).

Regarding claim 5, Jafarkhani discloses first quantization process and said second quantization process each select said corresponding quantized data values from a single predetermined set of quantization values (col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 4).

Regarding claims 10-12, Jafarkhani discloses modifying said first and second quantization processes in a periodic fashion (col. 7, line 48 to col. 8, line 60), such that after a Art Unit: 2626

first predetermined amount of time (the first redundancy processing/calculation), (i) said first quantization process produces a subsequent first quantized data value based at least in part on a corresponding subsequent second quantization process produces a subsequent second quantized data value not based on a corresponding subsequent first quantization error resulting from said first quantization process; and after a second predetermined amount of time (the next redundancy processing/calculation), (iii) said second quantization process produces a further subsequent second quantized data value based at least in part on a corresponding further subsequent first quantization error resulting from said first quantization process, and (iv) said first quantization process produces a further subsequent first quantized data value not based on a corresponding further subsequent second quantization error resulting from said second quantization process (second or subsequent first and second quantization processes are inherent in the coding algorithm since the system is using the redundancy algorithm to improve processing and obtain the most optimum data bitstreams).

6. Regarding claims 21 and 23, Jafarkhani discloses a multiple description coding communication system and provides the appropriate decoding system in the communication system for reconstructing the transmitted information (Figure 5 and 6) such that the system provides for decoding the plurality of multi-descriptive bit streams and comprises a plurality of decoders corresponding to the plurality of multi-descriptive bit streams to be decoded, each of said decoders generating a corresponding decoded bit stream (col. 8, line 65 to col. 11, line 30); and a mixer for combining said decoded bit streams to produce the reconstructed source signal (col. 4, lines 48-57), and wherein the plurality of multi-descriptive bit streams have been

Application/Control Number: 09/672,511

Art Unit: 2626

generated by a multi-descriptive encoder comprises a source signal input port for supplying the source signal (col. 4, lines 1-4); a first coder applied to the source signal input port, the first coder for generating a first multi-descriptive bit stream from the source signal, the first coder employing a first coding algorithm which includes a first quantization process in which a first data value based on the source signal is coded with use of a corresponding first quantized data value (col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 14; col. 7, line 48 to col. 8, line 60), thereby resulting in a corresponding first quantization error representative of a difference between said first data value and said first quantized data value; and a second coder applied to the source signal input port, the second coder for generating a second multi-descriptive bit stream from the source signal, the second coder employing a second coding algorithm which includes a second quantization process in which a second data value, based on the source signal and corresponding to said first data value, is coded with use of a corresponding second quantized data value (col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 14; col. 7, line 48 to col. 8, line 60), thereby resulting in a corresponding second quantization error representative of a difference between said second data value and said second quantized data value, wherein said second quantized data value as produced by said second quantization process is based at least in part on said first quantization error resulting from said first quantization process.

Regarding claim 22, Jafarkhani discloses the source signal is a speech signal (col. 4, line 31).

Regarding claim 26, Jafarkhani discloses each of said plurality of decoders has a corresponding internal state thereof, and wherein said internal state corresponding to a first one of said plurality of decoders is updated based on said internal state corresponding to another one

Application/Control Number: 09/672,511

Art Unit: 2626

of said plurality of decoders when said multi-descriptive bit stream corresponding to said first one of said plurality of decoders experiences a frame erasure (col. 5, lines 33-35 and 55-60 – as Jafarkhani describes the decoder determines which channels are lost (41) and performs reconstruction using available or most useful of the received data; C' and D' or C' only or D' only).

- 7. Regarding claims 14-17, 20, 33-37, 42-44, 46-49, and 52, the claims are similar in scope and/or content to the encoder of claims 1-5 and 10-12, and therefore are rejected under similar rationale.
- 8. Regarding claims 27-29, 32, 53-55, 58-61 and 64, the claims are similar in scope and/or content to the decoder of claims 21-23 and 26, and therefore are rejected under similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 6-7, 13, 38-39, and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

John et al (US Patent No. 6,665,646) discloses a predictive balanced multiple description coder for data compression.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,511 Page 9

Art Unit: 2626

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 571-272-7598.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Angela A)Armstrong
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2626